

No. ID/AMB/143/81/25965.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Chander and the management of the M/s The Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Milk Plant, Ambala City, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/81/82/25974.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Banarsi Dass and the management of M/s Adarsh Agro Engineers Private Limited, Treasury Road, Ambala City, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Banarsi Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/7/82/26936.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Snehra Ram and the management of The Executive Engineer, Canal Division, Lining No. 20, Meerut Road, Karnal, the regarding matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7—A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Snehra Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/29/82/26992.—Whereas the Governor is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Panna Ram and the management of Executive Engineer, SYL Division No. VII, Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing.

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Panna Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?